



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/847,869	05/02/2001	Evan Randy Kirshenbaum	10992193	5814
7590 03/19/2004  HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			EXAMINER	
			DAVIS, GEORGE B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2121	
			DATE MAILED: 03/19/2004	<b>↓</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Group Art Unit** 

# Office Action Summary --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address---**Period for Reply** A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE WYEE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_ This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** Claim(s) \_ \_\_\_\_ is/are pending in the application. Of the above claim(s)\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. □ Claim(s)\_ \_\_ is/are allowed. is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s)is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s)\_ are subject to restriction or election requirement. **Application Papers** ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on\_\_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). ☐ All ☐ Some\* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received:	 	
Attachment(s)		

*Certified copies not received:	Printerior - 1 to 100					
attachment(s)						
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413					
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ Other					

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 09/847869

Art Unit: 2121

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-13 and 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claims 1 and 26 recite "A processor-based" while the specification, page 13, second paragraph recites "A varieties of hardware systems including general purpose computer systems and specialized systems may be employed". From the phrase "may be" the specification indicates that there is a possibility that the claimed steps of the invention can be implemented without a general purpose computer.

## Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

14. 🐳

Application/Control Number: 09/847869

Art Unit: 2121

Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1-30 recite mathematical algorithm without any limitation to a practical application. Therefore, the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

3. Applicant's arguments filed December 18, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues on page 8 that the claims have the practical application of "decreasing the time and cost of designing graph structure". However, the claim invention and the practical application is not implemented by a computer (see specification, page 1, second paragraph).

Applicant further argues at page 9 that claim 26 recite neural network. However, neural network is recited in preamble and in the specification, page 1 as a may be statement and as a related art example and there is no indication as to how the neural network are able to implement claim 26 method steps.

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CAR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 2121

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CAR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Davis whose telephone number is (703) 305-3891. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anil Khatri, can be reached on (703) 3050282. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-7240.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

March 18, 2004

**GEORGE B. DAVIS** 

Page 4

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER